PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A23K 1/00, 3/03	A1	 (11) International Publication Number: WO 96/35337. (43) International Publication Date: 14 November 1996 (14.11.96)
21) International Application Number: PCT/NO 22) International Filing Date: 10 May 1996 (30) Priority Data: 951883 12 May 1995 (12.05.95) 71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): HYDRO A.S [NO/NO]; N-0240 Oslo (NO). 72) Inventors; and 75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): HJØRNEV! [NO/NO]; St. Hansgt. 3, N-3714 Skien (NO). JO Freddy [NO/NO]; Grensevn. 28, N-1927 Rånåsfo PLOENES, Franz [DE/DE]; Wanheimer Street D-4100 Duisburg 1 (DE). 74) Agent: SUNDNES, Arne; Norsk Hydro a.s, N-0240 Oslo (NO)	NORS. IK, Le OHNSEN OSS (NO 429-43	CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian paten (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European paten (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published With international search report.

(57) Abstract

The present invention relates to animal feed additives and feedstuff containing 0.2-2.5 weight % of said additives. The additives comprises dicompounds of formic acid salt. The additive may also comprise a desiccant. The additive comprises 20-99 weight % potassium diformate, 0-50 weight % sodium di/tetra-formate, 0-25 weight % calcium formate, 0-4 weight % desiccant and 0-5 weight % water.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
ΑU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam

1

Animal feed additives and feedstuff containing said additives

The present invention relates to animal feed additives comprising disalts of formic acid. The invention further comprises animal feedstuff containing said additives.

A general problem with feed additives comprising monocarboxylic acids, and especially formic acid, are loss of acid due to evaporation. This causes an off-spec product and corrosion problems in the equipment for making the feed and is also hazardous to those handling the additive and the feedstuff. Another problem is making additives that are free-flowing and which easily can be mixed with the other ingredients of the feedstuff. The additives must also be stable during storage and able to endure the temperatures they are exposed to during production of the feed, without decomposing.

Application and effects thereof of monocarboxylic acids like formic acid and formates like calcium formate in animal feedstuff are generally known in the art. The effects of these additives have been found to give increased growth rate, improvements of feed conversion and reduced diarrhoea frequency. Thus it is known from EP 0317668 A1 that promoted growth of piglets can be achieved by applying a conventional fodder containing 5-25% of a dry mixture containing 3-5 parts of calcium formate. Said dry mixture further contains 1-25 parts fat, 18-28 parts protein, 13-20 parts minerals, 3-5 parts citrate and 30-50 parts lactose. However, this additive does have some disadvantages and limitations of use. Calcium formate has a low water solubility and can only be used in limited

amounts to avoid too high total content of calcium in the feed. The concentration of formate in the additive is rather low and the effect is only of the same order of magnitude or lower as when formic acid is added to the feed. This is further shown in an article by M. Kirchgessner and F.X. Roth, "Use of formates in the feeding of piglets", Landwirtsch. Forschung 40, 2-3, 1987. On page 149 it is stated that "Cafo (calcium formate) influenced the feed consumption of the piglets only slightly; the animals improved feed conversion at about 5% at the highest dose levels (2.7/2.6% Cafo)".

From EP 219997 it is further known a preservative composition for adding to animal feedstuff comprising a binary blend of formic acid and propionic acid with synergistic activity. The aqueous blend of acids is impregnated in a solid carrier such as silica. 0.1-10% of the blend is used in feed for pigs, cattle or poultry. One disadvantage of the additive is that the percentage of active agent on the carrier is rather low. Further, due to the poor thermal stability of the product the risk for losing some of the acid during production and storage is rather high and thereby the effective cost of the active substance is increased. The impregnated carrier might also cause damage to the equipment and those being exposed to the product due to leakage/evaporation of acid from the carrier.

The nutritive effect of fumaric acid by varying the protein quality and protein content of the feed on fattening performance of broilers is described in an article in Archiv für Geflügelkunde 1991, 55 (5) pages 224-232, Eugen Ulmer & Co, Stuttgart, Germany. On the average fumaric acid seemed to improve final live weight by 3.9% and feed conversion by 2.6%, whereas the feed intake was not affected. The nutritive effect on weight gains decreased from 4.2-2% during the several growth periods. Feed utilisation changed from 3 over 2 to 2.5% The increase in efficiency of the organic acid related to the lower nutrient supply was more pronounced only in period 0-14 days.

In the product data sheet for PRESCO International there is described application of the additive "Formic Spray" (formic acid on a carrier) in amounts of 0.5-1.5% in feedstuff for piglets, pigs, cows, broilers and layers. Said additive is stated to improve in general the efficiency of feedstuff for these animals, this additive has, however, experienced a considerable loss of formic acid during storage.

In GB Patent No. 1.505.388 there is described formation of aqueous solutions of complex salts from ammonium ions and/or of a metal selected from Group I and II of the Periodic Table and at least one carboxylic acid. The ratio of acid to ammonium and/or metal ions being in the range of 2:1 and 4:1 on a chemical equivalent basis. The concentration of water in the aqueous solution being between 15-75% by weight of the total composition. Said solution of complex salts or disalts of carboxylic acids is stated to be a preservative composition to prevent growth of mould, bacteria and fungi and therefor useful in animal feed which in the patent is also named "substrate". When applied as a preservative to a substrate the liquid composition suitably contain between 0.1-5% of the inorganic complex acid salt based on the weight of the substrate treated. The only example relating to use of formates is ammonium diformate in silage which is not the same type of animal feedstuff which the present application relates to. Ammonium diformate is the most unstable of all the diformates and the teachings of this patent does not give any teaching for application of diformates except for silage and similar types of feedstuff and then in connection with prevention/reduction of growth of mould. fungi and bacteria.

The main object of the present invention was to arrive at a new additive comprising salts of formic acid.

A further object was to arrive at such additives that possessed high concentrations of the active ingredients and still being free-flowing and stable during storage and handling, including production of the final feedstuff.

A further object was to obtain animal feedstuffs containing the new additive in desired amounts for obtaining the required effects and still being within the specifications with regard to total content of elements like sodium, potassium and calcium.

In their search for new additives for animal feedstuffs the inventors desired to retain the advantages and positive effects of the above described active ingredients. The problem was to overcome the disadvantages of the known additives. Various special mixtures of formates, acetates and the like were investigated without success. Then it was focused on possible application of various types and mixtures of diformates.

In the literature it was found that potassium-, sodium- and ammonium formates could form double salts with formic acid. Calcium formates did not form such double or disalts. Similar disalts can also be formed from acetic-, propionic- and fumaric acids with their respective salts. According to the literature, Gmelins Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie, 8 Ed. System No 21 and 22, Verlag Chemie G.M. B. H., Berlin 1928, pages 818-857 and pages 919-949, respectively.

Potassium diformate is a most stable crystalline salt having decomposition temperature above 120°C while ammonium diformates are less stable and decomposes in the range 20-25°C. Sodium diformate is reported to decompose in the range 100-120°C. Sodium tetra-formate can also be formed according to a similar reaction mechanism. When these salts decompose, acid is released.

The information about the above stated disalts are rather limited and there is not reported commercial production of such salts. However, the reported stability for the sodium- and potassium salts made them interesting in the actual respect as the production of feedstuffs can be performed within the temperature range where some of these salts are stable. Further investigations revealed a considerable

reduction in hygroscopicity compared with formates, and mixing them with minor amounts of desiccants like special types of silica, starch and the like gave very promising results considering storage and handling properties.

Various compositions and mixtures of diformates were tested with regard to obtaining additives having high contents of acid and its salt, especially sodium and/or potassium formates and formic acid. The theoretical portion of formic acid in the disalt increased from potassium formate to ammonium formate as follows:

Table I

Formate	Formic acid %	Formate %	Decomp. temp. °C
Potassium diformate	35.3	69.2	120-125
Sodium diformate	40.3	78.9	100-120
Ammonium diformate	42.2	82.5	25-30
Sodium tetraformate	18.4	72	100-120

The thermal stability of the diformates shows a declining tendency from potassium to ammonium diformate. Leakage of formic acid from the ammonium diformate proved to be substantially larger than for potassium diformate and this confirms the reported low decomposition temperature for the former component.

From **Table I** it can be seen that relatively high contents of formic acid can be obtained by using diformates as formate source in the feed additives. In addition to that, the formic acid will be bound stably in the disalt, especially the potassium-

6

and sodium salts. This implies that leakage of acid and the resulting unstability thereof will be substantially reduced. This has been found to be of great importance both with regard to stability and handling properties during production of the final feed containing the formate additives.

Based on the above initial investigations, the following requirements for feed additives based on diformates were defined:

Formate content :

>50%

Formic acid content:

>20%

Water content

as low as possible, generally <1%

Desiccants

as low as possible, generally <1%

The final feedstuff generally contains 0.5-2% of formate based additives and the total content of sodium, potassium, calcium, ammonium and desiccant have to be kept within the specified values for feedstuffs, especially with regard to the sodium- and calcium content.

The additive product should preferably be dry and free-flowing for simplifying handling during packaging and handling in the feed production equipment.

The feed additive should not cause corrosion problems in the production equipment, accordingly the leakage of formic acid from dry additive and the final feedstuff should be very low.

The various additive mixtures were for practical reasons made in several steps. Thus one first made potassium diformate from which water had been removed by centrifugation down to about 5%, preferably residual water was removed in a drier unit, but a desiccant can be added to additives containing less than 5%

7

water. Thus a dry, free-flowing product was obtained. Sodium- and ammonium diformate products were made in a similar way. Then these intermediate products were mixed in desired proportions to obtain feed additive products. The experiences from said lab scale production of the additives were the following:

Additives having a high content of potassium diformate were free-flowing, thermally stable and gave hardly any smell.

If the sodium diformate/tetraformate content was increased in the additive, then the product smelled more strongly.

If ammonium diformate was mixed into the additive, drying of the product was found to be difficult. Such products were more hygroscopic than potassium- and sodium diformate based products. Ammonium diformate increased the smell of formic acid from the product.

Concentrated solutions of diformates, for instance 55-70%, preferably potassium-and/or sodium diformate, could also be used as additive component to be mixed with the basic feed in amounts giving corresponding contents of formates in the feedstuffs as with the above described dry additives. When diformate solutions are used, special care must be taken to secure even distribution of the additive in the basic feed.

The additives according to the invention were mixed with conventional fodder in amounts of 0.2-2.5 weight%. Such animal feedstuffs according to the invention were found to be especially useful for piglets and pigs. Similar effects on poultry, calves and cows are expected, but not investigated thoroughly.

The animal feed additives according to the invention comprises disalts of formic acid in amounts of 20-99 weight% potassium diformate, 0-50 weight% sodium

di-/tetraformate, 0-25 weight% calcium formate and 0-5 weight% water. The additive may also comprise a desiccant. The most preferred additives are characterised in that the additives comprises 20-60 weight% potassium diformate, 20-50 weight% sodium di/tetra-formate, 0-25 weight% calcium formate, 1-4 weight% desiccant and 0-5 weight% water.

Another preferred additive type comprises 60-99 weight% potassium diformate, 0-28 weight% calcium formate, 1-4 weight% desiccant and 0-5 weight% water.

The scope and special features of the invention are as defined by the attached claims.

The invention will be further explained in connection with the following examples.

Example 1

This example shows the results of testing of several additive compositions with regard to stability, measured as loss of formic acid. 26 different compositions of additives comprising diformates were made. These additives contained different types and ratios of diformates and in addition calcium formate and desiccant could be added. The amount of water in the additives were also measured. The total weight of the additives made varied from 173-536 grams and from each additive composition samples of 25 grams were taken for stability tests. These tests were performed by placing the 25 gram samples 25°C in a glass bell together with a beaker with 1N NaOH lye. The glass bell was sealed and by removing the beaker after 2, 4 and 7 days respectively and analyse the lye with regard to acid the loss or leakage of acid from the additive could be measured. The relative stability of the various additive compositions could thus be determined. Two known commercial additives containing formic acid were also tested in the same way and the results were compared with those of the additives according to the invention.

9

These known additives comprises formic acid on porous carriers of silica. The compositions of the recipes which were tested with regard to loss of acid are shown in Table Ia. As can be seen from Table Ib not all the recipes were tested. The compositions and the loss of acid as a function of time are shown in Table Ib below.

	Tot.additive	Б	256	237	216	346	270	234	268	251	536	378	268	234	201	173	402	334	246	402	368	394	475	453	473	347	368	200
	Added silicate	В		20.25		23.93		17.4				42.8				11.91			18.95			66.85			45.4	20		
	Silicate	ð	33	0	æ	0	12	0	41	31	82	0	41	20	34	0	61	20	0	61	41	0	12	32	0	0	41	46
tions	O. H	g	22	17	∞	22	∞	17	27	20	55	35	27	14	20	11	41	14	27	4	27	27	12	21	27	27	27	=
re composi	са(соон),	б		20	20	100	20			20		100		100		0.2		200			100		20		200	90		
Feed additive compositions	NH,H(COOH),	ð	20									100										100					100	
	NH,COOH	9	20						20		200		100		09		200			100								
i	Nacooн	g					50	50																		50		
Table la	Na,H(COOH),	g	50	20	50	100		20	100	100	100																100	50
	NaH(COOH) ₂	9																	100	100	100	100			100			
	кн(соон)2	6	100	100	100	100	150	100	50	50	100	100	100	100	90	80	100	100	100	100	100	100	400	400	100	200	100	100
	Recipe No.		1	2	က	4	2	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26

			Table ib	;	Ana	Analyses - loss of acid	of acid		
Recipe No.	Test	HCOOH-sample for testing	1 day HCOOH-loss	2 days HCOOH-loss	3 days HCOOH-loss	4 days HCOOH-loss	6 days HCOOH-loss	7 days HCOOH-loss	Average HCOOH-loss
	6	6	6m		m		ВШ		%
-									
2	25.0	5.01	19.5		57.1		95.7		1.91
3									
4	25.0	4.36	188.7		58		282		6.47
5									
9	25.0	4.91	43.3		23		49.95		1.02
7									
∞									
6									
10	25.0	5.03	31.3		83.3		133.5		2.66
=									
12									
13									
14	25.0	3.45	13.8		72.1		101		2.93
15									
16									
17	25.0	8.12	109.5		153.1		437		5.38
18									
19									
20	25.0	7.93	68.1		173.2		301.04		3.80
21									
22									
23	25.0	4.38	93.9		166.6		267.9		6.12
24	25.0	4.84		17.50		19.80		39.50	0.82
25	25.0	6.32		32.20		35.44		77.78	1.23
26	25.0	90'9		22.10		32.20		84.20	1.39
Formic Stabil	25.0	10.50		1772		3598		4472	42.59
Formic Spray	25.0	10.72		330		1430		3202	29.88

The results of the above tests shows that formic acid bound to potassium diformate and/or sodium di/tetra-formate are far more stable than ammonium diformate. In this test the best additive composition showed a loss of formic acid in the range of 2% over a period of 7 days, compared to 3-6% over a 7 days period when the additive contained a high content of ammonium diformate. The commercial product," Formic Spray" and "Formic Stabil" had losses of formic acid between 12-18% already after 4 days.

Based on the results from the above tests it was concluded that the most preferred additive compositions would be based on potassium and/or sodium diformates and a desiccant.

Two major types of additive compositions were then made for testing in feedstuffs.

	Type I	
Potassium diformate		40-50%
Sodium di/tetra-formate		20-25%
Calcium formate		20-25%
Desiccant		2-5%
Water		3-6%

The content of formic acid will be about 20% and the total content of formate about 65%.

	Type II	
Potassium diformate		82-85%
Calcium formate		9-12%
Water		2-4%
Desiccant		2-4%

The content of formic acid will be about 30% and the total content of formate about 65%.

Example 2

The two additives mentioned above were tested in a feeding experiment lasting 42 days. It was conducted with a total of 96 piglets (females and castrated males) in two consecutive runs. 2 x 6 litters with 8 weaned piglets each were bought from a piglet producing farm to reach a totally randomised complete block design. These 8 piglets of each litter, formed one experimental block, were randomly allotted to one of the 8 treatments described below, having equal numbers of females and castrates in each group:

Group	Diet supplemented w	rith
1	no supplement	= negative control
2	0.85% formic acid	= positive control
3	0.65% Type I additive	
4	1.30% Type I additive	
5	1.95% Type I additive	
6	0.65% Type II additive	•
7	1.30% Type II additive	•
8	1.95% Type II additive	•

During the first period of the experiment (day 1-21) a prestarter and during the second period (day 22-42) a piglet rearing feed were given ad lib. to the animals. **Table II** shows the composition of these feed mixtures which had to be constant for all treatments in terms of protein, amino acids, Ca, P and energy. As the new additives to be tested contained Na, Ca and K in considerable amounts and as they provide a small amount of energy too, it was necessary to add corn,

14

soybean oil meal, fat and/or CaCO₃ to the very mixture depending on the supplemented amount of formic acid and Type I or II additives. In **Table III** the analysed crude nutrient concentrations and the calculated contents of minerals and metabolizable energy are given. Both feed mixtures met the requirements of growing piglets for nutrients, micro nutrients and energy and were administered in pelleted form.

Table II: Composition of the feed mixtures (%)

	Prestarter	Piglet rearing feed
Corn	28.2	28.5
Barley	-	5.0
Wheat	-	30.0
Wheat bran	14.0	10.0
Rolled oats	10.0	-
Soybean oil meal, extr.	15.1	9.5
Skimmed milk powder	14.0	-
Corn gluten feed	5.0	5.0
Fish meal	5.0	5.7
Fat mixture	3.0	-
Soybean oil	-	1.1
Vitam. mineral premix ¹⁾	1.6	1.6
L-Lysine HCI	0.10	0.17
DL-Methionine	0.09	-
Type 1 and 2 supplement and adjustment to energy, protein and Ca content	3.91	3.43

per kg feed : 3.2 g Ca, 1.3 g P, 0.9 g Na, 0.2 g Mg, 77 mg Fe, 17 mg Cu, 22 mg Mn, 64 mg Zn, 0.8 mg J, 0.2 mg Se, 9600 I.U. Vit. A, 960 I.U. Vit. D₃, 32 mg Vit. E, 0.7 mg Vit. B₁, 1.4 mg Vit. B₂, 1.1 mg Vit. B₈, 10 μ g Vit. B₁₂, 9 mg nicotinic acid, 5.6 pantothenic acid, 0.4 mg Vit. K₃, 190 mg cholin chloride, 0.3 mg folic acid, 48 μ g biotin.

Table III: Concentrations of nutrients and energy in the feed mixtures used (% of FM)

	Prestarter	Piglet rearing feed
Dry matter	89.7	87.9
Crude ash	6.9	5.4
Crude protein	22.1	18.0
Crude fat	4.9	5.0
Crude fibre	5.5	4.4
N-free extracts	50.3	55.1
Lysine ⁹	13.5	10.0
Methionine ^{*)}	5.1	3.4
Calcium"	10.5	9.0
Phosphorus*)	8.6	7.5
Sodium ^{*)}	1.4	2.0
Energy (MJ MR/kg)*)	13.2	13.0

^{*)} Calculated

Example 3

The influence of the additions used on the pH and the acid binding capacity of the individual feed mixtures (10% feed suspensions) is shown in **Table IV**.

WO 96/35337

Table IV: pH value and acid binding capacity of the feed mixtures used

Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Supplement	-	0.83%	0.65%	1.30%	1.95%	0.65%	1.30%	1.96%
	forr	mic acid		Type 1			Type 2	
<u>Prestarter</u>								
pH value	5.10	4.10	5.18	4.57	4.41	4.76	4.69	4.50
acid binding capacity (meq HCI/kg for pH 3.0)	672	660	716	748	760	706	756	805
Piglet rearing feed								
pH value	5.16	4.28	5.00	4.71	4.63	6.38	5.12	4.94
acid binding cap. (meq HCl/kg for pH 3.0)	544	529	595	605	672	608	639	664

In both diets the initial pH value of 5.1-5.2 in the unsupplemented control feed, which already was rather low, dropped by adding Type I or II additives to a minimum of pH 4.4 depending of the dosage, but did never reach that value caused by adding pure formic acid. In the prestarter there was no difference between the effects of Type I and Type II additives, but in the rearing feed Type I additives caused 0.4 units lower pH values compared with Type II additives. The acid binding capacity, which means the amount of HCI addition necessary to reach a pH value of 3.0 in the feed, reacted contrarily to the pH values. The higher the supplementation and the lower the pH value, the higher was the acid binding capacity, indicating a greater buffer capacity of the added substances.

18

With Type II additives this effect was the greater. The distinctly stronger acid binding capacity of the prestarter diet compared with the rearing feed mixture was due to its higher protein and mineral contents.

The weight development, feed intake and feed conversion of the piglets during the whole experiment are shown in the following **Table V**:

Table V: Live weights, daily gains, daily feed intake and feed conversion rate during the whole experiment (day 1-42)

Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Supplement	-	0.86%	0.65%	1.30%	1.95%	0.65%	1.30%	1.95%
	for	mic acid		Type 1			Type 2	
Initial weight,	6.66	6.73	6.66	6.65	6.65	6.67	6.66	6.65
(kg)	± 0.70	± 0.56	± 0.81	± 0.82	± 0.69	± 0.72	± 0.90	± 0.89
relative	100	101	100	100	100	100	100	100
Final weight,	26.66	28.67	27.80	29.54	29.85	29.50	28.04	29.07°
(kg)	± 3.23	± 4.81	± 2.54	± 4.08	± 3.29	± 3.87	± 3.11	± 3.63
relative	100	108	104	111	112	111	105	112
Daily gains	476	523	503	545	553°	544	509	656
(g)	± 71	± 105	± 52	± 82	± 73	± 79	± 61	± 73
relative	100	110	106	115	116	114	107	117
Daily feed intake	768	817	7.888	816	848	860	780	845
(g)	±113	± 159	± 96	± 126	± 117	± 121	± 88	± 102
relative	100	106	103	110	110	112	102	110
Feed conversion	1.62ª	1.57∞	1.58 [∞]	1.55 [∞]	1.53 ^{bc}	1.59 ^{ab}	1.53 [∞]	1.52ª
(kg feed/kg gain)	± 0.07	± 0.07	± 0.05	± 0.04	± 0.05	± 0.07	± 0.06	± 0.05
relative	100	97	96	96	94	98	94	94

a, b, c significantly different means (P<0.05; SNK-test)

^{*} significantly different from group 1 (=negative control) by Dunnett-test (P<0.05)

With a mean live weight of 6.7 kg, the same for each group, the piglets with the new additives gained 22.5 kg during the whole experiment of 42 days. Meanwhile the animals of the negative control group gained just 20.0 kg, those with pure formic acid addition 22.0 kg. Group 8 however, (1.95% Type II additive) had a significantly higher weight than group 1. 16-17% higher daily weight gains during the whole experiment were only observed with the highest dosages of the new additives. The feed conversion rate was markedly better with feed additives, especially with 1.95% of Type I (group 5) or 1.30%, respectively 1.95% of Type II additives (group 7 and 8). Both Type I and II seemed to be more effective than pure formic acid.

Example 4

For the two separate experimental periods (see **Table VI**) it was proved that the new additives were more efficient within the prestarter feeding (day 1-21), according to growth between 6.5-16 kg, than within the consecutive rearing feeding (day 22-42). With average daily gains during this first period being 20% higher with Type II and 13% higher with Type I additives compared to group 1 without additives. Especially Type II gave an even greater advantage than pure formic acid addition.

Table VI: Live weights, daily gains, daily feed intake and feed conversion rate during both experimental periods (day 1-21)

Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Supplement	-	0.85%	0.65%	1.30%	1.95%	0.65%	1.30%	1.95%
	forn	nic ac id		Гуре 1 -			Type 2 ·	
1st. period (day 1-21)								
Daily gains	372b	432ªb	396ªb	435 ^{ab}	434 ^{ab}	458°	410 ^{ab}	461ª
(g)	± 71	± 89	± 54	± 77	± 86	± 80	± 78	± 68
relative	100	116	106	117	117	123	110	124
Daily feed intake	487	516	498	521	520	550	484	646
(g)	± 77	± 92	± 64	± 85	± 83	± 90	± 81	± 71
relative	100	106	102	107	107	113	99	112
Feed conversion	1.32ª	1.20 ^b	1.26 ^b	1.20 ^b	1.21 ^b	1.21 ^b	1.19 ^b	1.19 ^b
(kg feed/kg grain)	± 0.10	± 0.06	± 0.06	± 0.05	± 0.07	± 0.08	± 0.07	± 0.05
relative	100	91	95	91	92	92	90	90
2nd. period (day 22-42)								
Daily gains	580	613	611	654	871	629	608	649
(g)	± 110	± 124	± 78	± 100	± 76	± 83	± 65	± 98
relative	100	106	105	113	116	108	105	112
Daily feed intake	1.049	1.117	1.078	1.172	1.176	1.171	1.078	1.144
(g)	± 171	± 230	± 143	± 187	± 163	± 158	± 111	± 147
relative	100	106	103	112	112	112	103	109
Feed conversion	1.82ªb	1.83ªb	1.77ªb	1.79ªb	1.75 ^b	1.86ª	1.77 ^{ab}	1.77 ^{ab}
(kg feed/kg grain)	± 0.12	± 0.11	± 0.10	± 0.07	± 0.12	± 0.09	± 0.11	± 0.09
relative	100	101	97	98	96	102	97	97

a, b significantly different means (P<0.05; SNK-test)

^{*} significantly different from group 1 (=negative control) by Dunnett-test (P<0.05)

Additionally to the performance data, the percental frequency of diarrhoea (days of diarrhoea x 100/number of animals/days of experiment) were calculated for the piglets with the following results:

Group	Supplement	Diarrhoea frequency
1	none	8.2%
2	0.85% formic acid	6.7%
3	0.65% Type I additive	8.7%
4	1.30% Type I additive	6.6%
5	1.95% Type I additive	6.3%
6	0.65% Type II additive	8.4%
7	1.30% Type II additive	5.8%
8	1.95% Type II additive	5.4%

As can be seen from this experiment the diarrhoea frequency was in fact very low. Mainly minor cases of diarrhoea were observed, which often happen when piglets are fed ad lib. There seemed to be some tendency to lower diarrhoea frequency with higher dosages of the new additives, especially with Type II additives.

Example 5

This example shows the results of tests performed on slaughter pigs. The tests comprised application of four different feedstuffs, the first one called "Standard feed" had the following composition:

<u>"Standard feed"</u>	weight%
Barley	78.78
Soya meal	10.00
Rape meal, Canola	8.00
Lime stone meal	1.50
Monocalcium phosphate	1.00
Salt (Sodium chloride)	0.50
Micro minerals	0.04
Vitamines	0.03
L-Lycine	0.15

The following feedstuffs were used:

Group 1. Standard Feed

Group 2. Standard Feed + Ca/Na-formates 50/50 corresponding to 1% formic acid.

Group 3. Standard Feed + 1% pure formic acid.

Group 4. Standard Feed + Type II additive, corresponding to 1% formic acid.

Table VII

Test group:	1	2	3	4
Number of animals	12	12	12	12
Animals slaughtered	11	11	12	12
Starting weight kg	23.19	22.54	23.00	23.17
Slaughter weight kg	98.00	99.18	99.00	99.33
Area of meat in cutlet, cm²	39.85	39.51	42.50	43.00
Area of fat in cutlet, cm²	29.98	19.63	19.21	17.29
Meat in per cent of cutlet	51.90	66.86	68.87	71.32
Feed units fattening/ kg corrected weight gain	2.35	2.26	2.22	2.19

From these tests it can be seen that the new additive and feedstuff according to the invention improves the feed conversion and the relation between meat and lard for the slaughter pigs, even relative to formic acid.

From the above experiments it can be seen that the new additives are suitable for promoting growth and mainly to improve feed conversion rate, especially during the prestarter period. There were no significant differences between the two additives, but with Type I sometimes higher dosages seemed to be necessary to reach the same efficiency as with Type II additives. The influence on the diarrhoea frequency proved to be slightly positive with application of the new additives.

Claims

- 1. Animal feed additive comprising diformates,
 - characterized in that

the additive comprises 20-99 weight% potassium diformate, 0-50 weight% sodium di/tetra-formate, 0-25 weight% calcium formate, and 0-5 weight% water.

- Animal feed additive according to claim 1,
 characterized in that
 the additive comprises 0-4 weight% desiccant.
- 3. Animal feed additive according to claim 1, characterized in that the additive comprises 20-60 weight% potassium diformate, 20-50 weight% sodium di/tetra-formate, 0-25 weight% calcium formate, 1-4 weight% desiccant and 0-5 weight% water.
- 4. Animal feed additive according to claim 1, characterized in that 60-99 weight% potassium diformate, 0-25 weight% calcium formate, 1-4 weight% desiccant and 0-4 weight% water.
- 5. Animal feed additive according to claim 1, characterized in that the additive is an aqueous solution having a concentration of 55-70 weight% of potassium- and/or sodium diformate.
- 6. Animal feedstuff comprising disalts of formic acid, characterized in that the feedstuff comprises 0.2-2.5 weight% of additives according to claims 1-5.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/NO 96/00114

A CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
, O to			
IPC6: A	A23K 1/00, A23K 3/03 o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	i la isani la ing	
	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na OS SEARCHED	tional classification and IPC	
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)	
,,,,,,,,,	ocanionanion solution (cimbinolinon cyclom ichici cy	,	
IPC6: A	123K		
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	n the fields searched
SE,DK,F	I,NO classes as above		
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where practicable, search	n terms used)
WPT TE	IPAT, CA		
	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
			B.4
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
х	GB 1505388 A (BP CHEMICALS LTD), (30.03.78)	30 March 1978	1-6
X	EP 0009366 A1 (BP NUTRITION LTD) (02.04.80)	, 2 April 1980	1-2,4-6
A	EP 0317668 A1 (COÖPERATIEVE WEIPRODUKTENFABRIEK"BORCULO" (31.05.89)	W.A.)), 31 May 1989	1-6
	(31.03.83)		
!			
A	DK 85073 A (SKÅNSKA ÄTTIKFABRIKE	N AR)	1-6
^	16 June 1958 (16.06.58)	N AB),	10
Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box	C. X See patent family annex	(.
"A" docum	categories of cited documents: ent defining the general state of the art which is not considered f particular relevance	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the appli- the principle or theory underlying the	cation but cited to understand
"E" eriter d	Locument but published on or after the international filing date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	"X" document of particular relevance: the considered novel or cannot be considered step when the document is taken along	red to involve an inventive
special	establish the publication date of another citation or other reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance: the considered to involve an inventive step	claimed invention cannot be
means	ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	combined with one or more other sucl being obvious to a person skilled in th	n documents, such combination
	ent published prior to the international filing date but later than only date claimed	'&' document member of the same patent	
Date of th	e actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international s	search report
		1 3 -08- 1	996
8 Augus			
	I mailing address of the ISA/ Patent Office	Authorized officer	
1	, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Inga-Karin Petersson	
Facsimile	No. +46 8 666 02 86	Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

31/07/96

International application No. PCT/NO 96/00114

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
GB-A-	1505388	30/03/78	AU-A- 1 BE-A,A- 2 DE-A,A- 2 FR-A,B- 2 JP-C- 1 JP-A- 52 JP-A- 52 JP-B- 58 LU-A,A- 7 NL-A- 7	963276 848712 653448 653449 332764 145271 188031 070993 110286 0011921 76270 6612827	25/05/78 24/05/77 07/07/77 08/06/77 24/06/77 12/05/83 30/01/84 13/06/77 16/09/77 05/03/83 10/07/78 01/06/77	
			SE-A- 7 US-A- 4	424805 612195 179522	16/08/82 28/05/77 18/12/79	
EP-A1-	0009366	02/04/80	SE-T3- 0	009366		
EP-A1-	0317668	31/05/89	DE-A,A- 3 IE-B- NL-A- 8	326159 3722764 63423 6601806 857338	18/01/94 21/01/88 19/04/95 01/02/88 15/08/89	
DK-A-	85073	16/06/58	NONE			